REMARKS:

Claims 1-3 and 5-9, 11 and 13-17 are in the case and presented for consideration.

Claims 4, 10 and 12 have been canceled.

This amendment is being filed with an RCE and the required fee of \$810. The commissioner is authorized to charge or credit any under or overpayment to this firm's deposit account number 14-1431.

Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 9, 11, 13-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sefton et al. (GB 2,342,419 A) in view of Wasson (US 6,621,516 B1). The stated basis of this rejection is that it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the running gears of Wasson to the pipe inspection device of Sefton. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection for the reasons set forth more fully below.

Sefton discloses a pipe inspection device comprising a protective casing 5 for housing two cameras 11 and 15, wherein a forward-looking camera 11 and a sideways-looking camera 15 are disposed in a member 23, which is rotatably disposed within the casing 5. The casing 5 is fastened to a semi-rigid rod 3 via screw threads (see Fig. 1 and 2 and page 3, line 21). Thus, the casing 5 of Sefton is not mounted on gimballed bearings as recited in independent claims 1 and 9.

Further, Sefton discloses a single motor 29 which rotates the sideways-looking camera 15 only or rotates both forward- and sideways-looking cameras 11 and 15 simultaneously (see page 3, line 33 - page 4, line 7). Thus, Sefton does not disclose "motors" as recited in claims 1 and 9. Moreover, the motor 29 of Sefton does not swivel the casing 5, as recited in claims 1 and 9. In Sefton, forward-looking camera 11 always

looks forward, whereas sideways looking camera may rotate about 360° radially.

The orientation of the line of sight of the forward-looking camera 11 and the orientation of the line of sight of the sideways-looking camera 15 of Sefton are constructively conditioned to always be orthogonal (see Fig. 3), and therefore always two lines of sight always exist in the operative states of Sefton's cameras. Both cameras may be operative at the same time. Sefton does not disclose any means for rotating the casing 5 or the member 23 so that the line of sight of the sideways-looking camera 15 after rotation could coincide with the line of sight of the forward-looking camera 11 before rotation, as recited in claims 1 and 9.

Sefton implicitly discloses a method for the inspection of a pipe section by presenting a device for the inspection of pipe sections. A display of the results of the inspection of the pipe section is also described (see page 4, lines 7 - 11 and lines 24 - 30). However, Sefton does not disclose an exposure of the circumference of the pipe section, an unrolling of the circumference, creation of a locus for the unrolling, inspection of details separately in time from the exposure of the circumference, and an automatic assignment of the details to the locus, as recited in claim 9.

Nor does Wasson disclose those elements recited in claims 1 and 9 which are not present in Sefton. Rather, Wasson discloses a pipe inspection device comprising a single panoramic camera 12 being mounted on a cart 14 acting as a running gear (see column 3, lines 46 - 51). A four-fold cantilever 16 serves as a linkage between the cart 14 and the camera 12. The camera 12 is rotatable around a horizontally aligned pin 50 (see Fig. 1 and column 3, lines 56 - 59). Except for camera 12, no additional cameras are disclosed, as claimed by claim 1 of the invention.

The camera mounting means of Wasson comprises four-fold cantilever 16 and a rotary actuator 52 in combination with the pin 50, which provides a horizontally aligned rotation axis. Thus, the position of the camera 12 is shiftable parallel to a longitudinal axis of the cart 14 (see claim 7) and the orientation of the camera 12 given by an axis of the lens 20 is tiltable (see claim 8) within a vertical plane which also hosts the longitudinal axis of the cart 14 (see Fig. 1). However, no left-right-swivelling of the camera 12 with respect to the longitudinal axis of the cart 14 is possible. Thus, no gimballed system for bearing the housing, as recited in claims 1 and 9, is present.

Wasson also discloses a method for the inspection of a pipe section which comprises the following steps: transmitting image signals B from the camera 66 through a signal transmitter 82; receiving image signals B through a remote command center 84; controlling the transmission and reception by control means 78; storing the image signals B on data processing means 86; and displaying the image signals B on a monitor 88 (see column 5, lines 27 - 30). On occasion, for instance, if a damaged area of the inside of the pipe is detected by an operator 90, a position signal A is linked to the corresponding image (see column 5, lines 31 - 33). Postprocessing of the image signals B also includes selection of an area of the panoramic view, and magnification of the scene in order to inspect details after the exposure has occurred (see column 5, lines 39 - 43). However, Wasson does not disclose an unrolling of the circumference, creation of a locus for the unrolling, and an automatic assignment of the details to the locus, as recited in claim 9.

Even assuming <u>arguendo</u>, that the teachings of Sefton in combination with those of Wasson would enable a person ordinary skilled in the art to combine the cart 14 and the four-fold cantilever 16 of Wasson with the casing 5 of Sefton and its two cameras having

orthogonal lines of view, and although the result would be a device in which the casing 5 may be lifted by the four-fold cantilever 16 there would, nevertheless, not be any rotation of the casing 5 of Sefton.

Further, although by such a combination, rotation of casing 5 may become possible and the former exclusively forward-looking camera 11 could change place with the former exclusively sideways-looking camera 15, nevertheless, camera 15 would now look forward but would still have an endless number of lines of sight, depending upon the degree of its rotation. Thus, even the combination would not disclose the invention, according to which one single line of sight for both cameras in their respective operative state are required. This feature in the present invention was surprisingly found to enable the substitution of the first camera by the second camera while retaining the exact exposure position and line of sight. The exchange of the cameras did not give rise to any discontinuity within the image dataset. This feature of the invention is far beyond what a person ordinary skilled in the art would have proposed. Hence, it is submitted that this is another reason why claims 1 and 9 are patentable.

So, too, the teachings of Sefton in combination those of Wasson, again, assuming such a combination were permissible, might enable a person of ordinary skilled in the art to specify a method for pipe inspection comprising exposing the circumference of the pipe; transmitting the image dataset via a signal transmitter; receiving the image dataset through a remote command center; controlling the transmission and reception by control means; storing the image dataset on data processing means; displaying the images retrieved from the image dataset on a monitor; and creating and linking a position tag by manual interaction to suspicious images only. And, further, although neither Sefton nor Wasson

disclose unrolling the circumference to obtain a two-dimensional representation of a three-dimensional object, this method to process images of curved areas is well-known in cartography.

But creating a locus for unrolling the circumference in order to be able to automatically assign details to the respective locus, as recited in claim 9, would not be present in any combination of Sefton or Wasson. This feature of the present invention provides for a strongly accelerated and comfortable evaluation of the image dataset without the need for a permanent supervision of the images while exposure.

In sum, even if a person skilled in the art would replace the four-fold cantilever 16 by a gimballed bearing, thus combining Sefton with Wasson, that combination would not teach the claimed invention.

Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sefton et al. (GB 2,342,419 A) in view of Wasson (US 6,621,516 B1) in further view of McGrew et al. (US 7,073,979 B2).

It is submitted that McGrew is not prior art because its disclosure date is November 23, 2003 which is later than Applicant's June 25, 2003 priority date. (Applicant's Declaration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application, claiming the June 25, 2003 priority date, is annexed hereto.)

Further, even if McGrew was prior art, which it is not, claim 8 is dependent from claim 1 and the reasons why claim 1 is patentable over the combination of Sefton and Wasson, so too, is claim 8 patentable over the combination of Sefton, Wasson and McGrew. Thus, even, assuming <u>arguendo</u>, that Sefton, Wasson and McGrew may be combined, McGrew does not disclose any of the elements, missing from the combination

of Sefton and Wasson, which render claim 1 patentable. Therefore, it is submitted that claim 8 is patentable over any combination of Sefton, Wasson and McGrew.

Accordingly, by this amendment, the application and claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, and favorable action is respectfully requested.

No new matter has been added.

If any issues remain, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the number below, to advance the application to allowance.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 3, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/PETER C. MICHALOS/ Peter C. Michalos Reg. No. 28,643 Attorney for Applicants (845) 359-7700

NOTARO, MICHALOS & ZACCARIA P.C. 100 Dutch Hill Road Orangeburg, New York 10962

Customer No. 21706

 $M: \label{lem:matching} M: \label{lem:matching} M: \label{lem:matching} Amd AF. wpd$

Attv.	Docket:	G33-114US	
	_		

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

English Language Declaration

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

patent is sought on DOLLY	the invention entitled				
the specification of	which (check one):				
[] is a	ttached hereto		٠		٠
	s filed on s amended on	as Application Serial No (if applicable).			, and
		d understand the contents of the above endment referred to above.	-ident	ified sp	ecification,
l acknowled Code of Federal Re		ormation which is material to patentabi	lity as o	defined	in Title 37,
application(s) for pa	itent or inventor's certific	fits under Title 35, United States Coc cate listed below and have also iden aving a filing date before that of the app	tified b	elow a	ny foreign
Prior Foreign Applic	ations	<u> </u>	<u></u>	Priorit	y Claimed
EP2004/006780	PCT	23-Jun-2004		YES	NO
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	-,	[×]	I I
203 09 864.1	Germany	25-Jun-2003		YES	NO
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	-	[×]	l J
l hereby clail Provisional Applicati		35, United States Code, §119(e) of the	follow	ring Uni	ited States
•				<u>Priorit</u>	y Claimed
	'Number)			YES []	NO []

	•	
A 11	D	G33-114US
41111	I JOCKOT.	000-11400
1256 8 1	DUCKUL.	

		Atty. Docket:	533-11405
prior United States applications \$112, I acknowledge the du	the subject matter of each on in the manner provided ty to disclose information s, §1.56 which became av	n of the claims of this app I by the first paragraph o which is material to pate vailable between the fiir	ny United States application(plication is not disclosed in to f Title 35, United States Cod ntability as defined in Title 3 ng date of the prior applicatio
This application is a		of (Check One) [] U.S. /[] International
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Pa	fented, Pending, Abandoned)
I hereby declare that all state on information and belief ar knowledge that willful false si under Section 1001 of Title 10 the validity of the application POWER OF ATTORNE agent(s) to prosecute this a	e believed to be true; an atements and the like so not the United States Code or any patent issued ther Y: As a named invento	d further that these sta made are punishable by e and that such willful fals eon. r. I hereby appoint the	tements were made with the fine or imprisonment, or both se statements may jeopardiz
connected therewith.	.,	, 200,1000 III III0. 0.0, 1	atem and Trademark Office
Angelo Notaro Reg. No. 27,664	Peter C. Michalos Reg. No. 28,643	Mark A. Conklin Reg. No. 39,148	John Zaccaria Reg. No. 40,241
Send Correspondence to:			,
	NOTARO & MIO 100 Dutch I Suite Orangeburg, New Y	Hill Road 110	
Customer No.: 21706	- -		
Direct Telephone Calls to: (845) 359-7700		
Albert K. HINN		,	
ull Name of Sole or First Inventor			
iventor's signature Im Hölzle 1, 73061 Ebersbar	oh Formany	Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
esidence	Sn, Germany		
German itizenship			
im Hölzle 1, 73061 Ebersbad	ch, Germany		
ost Office Address			
			·

Check Box for Additional Inventor(s) on Page 3